

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541.248	07/01/2005	Omid Kermani	49102	4948
10/541,246	07/01/2005	Omia Kermani	49102	4948
	7590 05/22/200 ABRAMS, BERDO &	EXAMINER		
1300 19TH STREET, N.W.			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
	SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1,, 50 2000		3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/541,248	KERMANI, OMID		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Samuel M. Heinrich	3742		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requeried for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	the
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 m</u> onths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	IWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension for have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laster than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely fin NOTICE OF APPEAL.	fee 2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sir Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal; and/or	г
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation o how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) a lolowed:	f
Claim(s) ploteded to: Claim(s) ploteded to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide	а

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12	\Box	Note the attached	Information Disclosure	Statement(e)	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

/Samuel M Heinrich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742 Continuation of 3, NOTE: Newly claimed focusing means for laser radiation which has a numerical aperture greater than or equal to 1.2 has not been considered and requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that, in combination with the microtome features of claims 1 and 14, the use of an aperture of greater than or equal to 0.65 is novel. This argument is not convoining Focused lasers having a numerical aperture is a size limitation which does not impart patentiability to the claims. Further, focusing in Applicant's claimed numerical aperture range is well known (US Patent and Application references 6,184,973; 6,310,850; 6,556,537; 2003009104 all describe numerical aperture values in Applicant's range).